

# Generalized Convexity in Economics

J.E. Martínez-Legaz

*Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain*

2nd Summer School: Generalized Convexity Analysis  
Introduction to Theory and Applications

JULY 19, 2008

KAOHSIUNG - TAIWAN

## References:

John, Reinhard: Uses of generalized convexity and generalized monotonicity in economics. Handbook of generalized convexity and generalized monotonicity, 619–666, Nonconvex Optim. Appl., 76, Springer, New York, 2005.

Martínez-Legaz, Juan Enrique: Generalized convex duality and its economic applications. Handbook of generalized convexity and generalized monotonicity, 237–292, Nonconvex Optim. Appl., 76, Springer, New York, 2005.

# 1 Preference, Utility and Demand

$n$  different types of commodities

$\mathbb{R}_+^n$  the set of commodity bundles

$\succsim$  total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  :

$$x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \implies x \succsim y \text{ or } y \succsim x$$

$$x \succsim y, y \succsim z \implies x \succsim z$$

$\succsim$  is continuous if

$graph(\succsim) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n : x \succsim y\}$  is closed.

PROPOSITION. A binary relation  $\succsim$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  is a continuous total preorder if and only if there is a continuous function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$x \succsim y \iff u(x) \geq u(y).$$

$\succsim$  total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$

$\succsim$  is convex if

$$x \succsim y \implies (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y \succsim y \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

$$x \succ y \iff x \succsim y, y \not\succeq x$$

$\succsim$  is strictly convex if

$$x \succsim y, x \neq y \implies (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y \succ y \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

$u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  utility representation of  $\succsim$

$\succsim$  is convex  $\iff u$  is quasiconcave

$\succsim$  is strictly convex  $\iff u$  is strictly quasiconcave

The demand correspondence:  $X = X_u : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$X(p) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1, u(x) \geq u(y) \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ such that } \langle y, p \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

$\succsim$  is said to be locally nonsatiated if no relatively open subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  has a  $\succsim$ -maximal element.

PROPOSITION.

(1) If  $\succsim$  is locally nonsatiated then, for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  and  $x \in X(p)$ ,  $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$ .

(2) If  $\succsim$  is convex then  $X$  is convex-valued and satisfies GARP:

if  $p^i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ ,  $x^i \in X(p^i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) then

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \langle x^i - x^{i+1}, p^i \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle x^k - x^1, p^k \rangle \leq 0.$$

(3) If  $u$  is u.s.c. and strictly quasiconcave then  $X$  is single-valued and satisfies SARP:

if  $p^i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ ,  $x^i \in X(p^i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) then

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \langle x^i - x^{i+1}, p^i \rangle \geq 0 \implies \langle x^k - x^1, p^k \rangle < 0.$$

## 2 Duality in Consumer Theory

$u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  utility function

$p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  price vector

$M > 0$  income

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}) \quad & \text{maximize} && u(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && \langle x, p \rangle \leq M \end{aligned}$$

The indirect utility function  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  :

$$v(p) = \sup \{u(x) : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1\}$$

$$S_\lambda(v) = \bigcap_{x:u(x)>\lambda} \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \langle x, p \rangle > 1\} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$$

THEOREM. Let  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . There exists a utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  having  $v$  as its associated indirect utility function if and only if  $v$  is nonincreasing, evenly quasiconvex and satisfies

$$v(p) \leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \bar{v}(\alpha p) \quad (p \in bd \mathbb{R}_+^n),$$

$\bar{v}$  denoting the l.s.c. hull of  $v$ .

In this case one can take  $u$  nondecreasing, evenly quasiconcave and satisfying

$$u(x) \geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \underline{u}(\alpha x) \quad (x \in bd \mathbb{R}_+^n). \quad (1)$$

Under these conditions  $u$  is unique, namely,  $u$  is the pointwise largest utility function inducing  $v$ ; furthermore, it satisfies

$$u(x) = \inf \{v(p) : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n). \quad (2)$$

COROLLARY. For every  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , the function  $v_0 : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by

$$v_0(p) = \sup \{u(x) : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1\},$$

with  $u$  given by (2), is the pointwise largest nonincreasing evenly quasiconvex minorant of  $v$  that satisfies

$$v_0(p) \leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \overline{v_0}(\alpha p) \quad (p \in bd \mathbb{R}_+^n). \quad (3)$$

THEOREM. Let  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . There exists a function  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that (2) holds if and only if  $u$  is nondecreasing, evenly quasiconcave and satisfies (1).

In this case one can take  $v$  nonincreasing, evenly quasiconvex and satisfying (3). Under these conditions  $v$  is unique, namely,  $v$  is the pointwise smallest function such that (2) holds; furthermore, it is the indirect utility function associated with  $u$ .



COROLLARY. For every  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , the function  $u^0 : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by

$$u^0(x) = \inf \{v(p) : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1\},$$

$v$  being the indirect utility function associated with  $u$ , is the pointwise smallest nondecreasing evenly quasiconcave majorant of  $u$  that satisfies

$$u^0(x) \geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} u^0(\alpha x) \quad (x \in \text{bd } \mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

THEOREM. A nondecreasing evenly quasiconcave function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  satisfying (1) is finite-valued if and only if its associated indirect utility function  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is bounded from below and finite-valued on the interior of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

THEOREM. The indirect utility function  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  induced by a nondecreasing evenly quasiconcave function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  satisfying (1) is finite-valued if and only if  $u$  is bounded from above and finite-valued on the interior of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

COROLLARY. Let  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a nondecreasing evenly quasiconcave function satisfying (1) and let  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be its associated indirect utility function. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $u$  and  $v$  are finite-valued.
- (ii)  $u$  is bounded.
- (iii)  $v$  is bounded.

$u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  utility function

The expenditure function:  $e_u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$

$$e_u(p, \lambda) = \inf \{ \langle x, p \rangle : u(x) \geq \lambda \} \quad \left( (p, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R} \right).$$

THEOREM. A function  $e : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$  is the expenditure function  $e_u$  for some utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , either  $e(\cdot, \lambda)$  is finite-valued, concave, linearly homogeneous and u.s.c., or it is identically equal to  $+\infty$ .

(ii) For each  $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $e(p, \cdot)$  is nondecreasing.

(iii)  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \partial e(\cdot, \lambda)(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , with  $\partial e(\cdot, \lambda)(\mathbf{0})$  denoting the superdifferential of the concave function  $e(\cdot, \lambda)$  at the origin, i.e.

$$\partial e(\cdot, \lambda)(\mathbf{0}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \langle x, p \rangle \geq e(p, \lambda) \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\}.$$

THEOREM. The mapping  $u \longmapsto e_u$  is a bijection from the set of u.s.c. nondecreasing quasiconcave functions  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  onto the set of functions  $e : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$  that satisfy (i)-(iii),

$$(iv) \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \partial e(\cdot, \lambda)(0) = \emptyset$$

and

$$(v) \bigcap_{\mu < \lambda} \partial e(\cdot, \mu)(0) = \partial e(\cdot, \lambda)(0) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Furthermore, the inverse mapping is  $e \longmapsto u_e$ , with  $u_e : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$u_e(x) = \sup \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : x \in \partial e(\cdot, \lambda)(0) \}.$$

### 3 Monotonicity of Demand Functions

The demand correspondence:  $X : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$X(p) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1, u(x) = v(p) \right\}$$

THEOREM. If the utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  has no local maximum then the demand function

$X : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is cyclically quasimonotone (in the decreasing sense), i.e.

if  $p^i \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n, x^i \in X(p^i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$

then

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, k} \langle x^i - x^{i+1}, p^i \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{with } x^{k+1} = x^1.$$

$X$  is quasimonotone (in the decreasing sense) if

$$\begin{aligned} p, q &\in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n, x \in X(p), y \in Y(q) \\ \implies &\min \{ \langle x - y, p \rangle, \langle y - x, q \rangle \} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$X$  is monotone (in the decreasing sense) if

$$\begin{aligned} p, q &\in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n, x \in X(p), y \in Y(q) \\ \implies &\langle x - y, p \rangle + \langle y - x, q \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$u : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 - 1 & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 < 1 \\ x_1 & \text{if } x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && u(x_1, x_2) \\ &\text{subject to} && p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

For  $p_1 \geq 1$  and  $1 \geq p_2 > 0$ , the optimal solution is

$$x_1 = \frac{1 - p_2}{p_1 - p_2}, \quad x_2 = \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1 - p_2}.$$

Let  $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  and  $\bar{x} \in X(\bar{p})$ .

$\bar{p}$  is an optimal solution to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & v(p) \\ \text{subject to} & \langle \bar{x}, p \rangle \leq 1. \end{array}$$

There exists  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

$$\nabla v(\bar{p}) + \lambda \bar{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda (\langle \bar{x}, \bar{p} \rangle - 1) = 0.$$

$$\langle \nabla v(\bar{p}), \bar{p} \rangle + \lambda \langle \bar{x}, \bar{p} \rangle = 0 \quad \lambda \langle \bar{x}, \bar{p} \rangle = \lambda$$

$$\lambda = - \langle \nabla v(\bar{p}), \bar{p} \rangle$$

$$\nabla v(\bar{p}) - \langle \nabla v(\bar{p}), \bar{p} \rangle \bar{x} = 0.$$

THEOREM. (Roy's Identity) If the utility function  $u$  is u.s.c. and its associated indirect utility function  $v$  is continuously differentiable at  $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , with  $\nabla v(\bar{p}) \neq 0$ , then

$$X(\bar{p}) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\langle \nabla v(\bar{p}), \bar{p} \rangle} \nabla v(\bar{p}) \right\}.$$

THEOREM. If the utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave,  $C^2$ , has a componentwise strictly positive gradient on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , induces a demand function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$  (i.e. a single-valued demand correspondence  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \Rightarrow X(p) = \{\varphi(p)\}$ ), with  $\varphi$  of class  $C^1$ , and satisfies

$$-\frac{\langle x, \nabla^2 u(x)x \rangle}{\langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle} < 4 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n)$$

then  $\varphi$  is strictly monotone, i.e. it satisfies (4) as a strict inequality whenever  $p \neq q$ .



$$X(p) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : u(x) = v(p)\} \quad (p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n)$$

$$X^{-1}(x) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n : -v(p) = -u(x)\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

$$-u(x) = \sup \{-v(p) : \langle x, p \rangle \leq 1\} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n)$$

PROPOSITION. For a  $C^2$  nondecreasing quasiconcave utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with no stationary points, the following statements are equivalent:

$$(i) \quad -\frac{\langle x, \nabla^2 u(x) x \rangle}{\langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle} \leq 4 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n).$$

(ii) The function  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \mapsto u(x_1^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \dots, x_n^{-\frac{1}{3}})$  is convex-along-rays.

(iii) The restriction  $v : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  of the indirect utility function to the positive orthant has a representation of the type

$$v(p) = \max_{(y,c) \in U} \{c - (\langle y, p \rangle)^3\} \quad (p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n),$$

with  $U \subseteq (\mathbb{R}_{++}^n \cup \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

THEOREM. Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$  be a  $C^1$  demand function induced by a strictly quasiconcave utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is  $C^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  and has a componentwise strictly positive gradient on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n \cup \varphi(\mathbb{R}_{++}^n)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is monotone if and only if

$$\frac{\langle x, \nabla^2 u(x)x \rangle}{\langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle} \leq 4 - \frac{\langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle}{\langle \nabla u(x), (\nabla^2 u(x))^{-1} \nabla u(x) \rangle}$$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  such that  $\nabla^2 u(x)$  is nonsingular

and

$$\frac{\langle x, \nabla^2 u(x)x \rangle}{\langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle} \leq 4 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \text{ s. t. } \nabla^2 u(x) \text{ is singular.}$$

PROPOSITION. Let  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a utility function and let  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be its associated indirect utility function. If the set

$$\left\{ (p, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n : u(x) - v(p) \geq 0 \right\}$$

is convex (in particular, if the function

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

defined by

$$\psi(p, x) = u(x) - v(p)$$

is quasiconcave) and  $u$  has no maximum then the demand correspondence  $X$  is monotone.

COROLLARY. Let  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a utility function and let  $v : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be its associated indirect utility function. If  $u$  is concave and has no maximum and  $v$  is convex then the demand correspondence  $X$  is monotone.

**THEOREM.** If  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing and the function  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \mapsto u(x^{-1})$  is convex-along-rays then the restriction  $v : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  of the indirect utility function to the positive orthant is convex. Conversely, if  $v : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded, nonincreasing and convex then there is a nondecreasing quasiconcave utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \mapsto u(x^{-1})$  is convex-along-rays and whose associated indirect utility function extends  $v$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $u : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  nondecreasing quasiconcave utility function. The restriction  $v : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of its associated indirect utility function to the positive orthant is convex if and only if

$$\langle x, \nabla^2 u(x)x \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla u(x), x \rangle \geq 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n).$$

# 4 Consumer Theory without Utility

Let  $\succsim$  be a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

For  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$x_1 \sim x_2 \iff x_1 \succsim x_2, x_2 \succsim x_1$$

$$x_1 \succ x_2 \iff x_1 \succsim x_2, x_2 \not\succeq x_1$$

The indirect preorder induced by  $\succsim$ : For  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$p_1 \succsim^i p_2 \iff \forall x_2 \in B(p_2) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \langle x, p_2 \rangle \leq 1\} \\ \exists x_1 \in B(p_1) \text{ s. t. } x_1 \succsim x_2.$$

$\succsim^i$  is a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

$\simeq^i$  the associated indifferent relation

$\succ^i$  the associated strict preorder

Let  $\sim^*$  be a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

The direct preorder induced by  $\sim^*$ : For  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$x_1 \sim^{*d} x_2 \iff \forall p_1 \in B^{-1}(x_1) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \langle x_1, p \rangle \leq \mathbf{1}\} \\ \exists p_2 \in B^{-1}(x_2) \text{ s. t. } p_1 \sim^* p_2.$$

$\sim^{*d}$  is a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

THEOREM. Let  $\succsim$  be a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . The following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $\succsim$  coincides with  $\succsim^{id}$ .

(ii)  $\succsim$  has the following properties:

(a)  $\succsim$  is nondecreasing.

(b) For every  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  
the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x \succsim x_1\}$  is evenly convex.

(c) For every  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  
if  $x_2 \in cl \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x \succsim x_1\}$  and  $\alpha > 1$  then  $\alpha x_1 \succsim x_2$ .

(d) For every  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  
if  $x_1 \sim x_2$  and  $x_1$  is a  $\succsim$ -maximal element of  $B(p_1)$  for some  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  then  $x_2$  is a  $\succsim$ -maximal element of  $B(p_2)$  for some  $p_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

Moreover, if conditions (a)-(d) hold, then  $\succsim^i$  has the following properties:

(a')  $\succsim^i$  is nonincreasing.

(b') For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  
the set  $\{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : p_1 \succsim^i p\}$  is evenly convex.

(c') For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  
if  $p_2 \in cl \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : p_1 \succsim^i p\}$  and  $\alpha > 1$  then  $p_1 \succsim^i p_2$ .

(d') For every  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  
if  $p_1 \sim^i p_2$  and  $p_1$  is a  $\succsim^i$  - minimal element of  $B^{-1}(x_1)$   
for some  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  then  $p_2$  is a  $\succsim^i$  - minimal element  
of  $B^{-1}(x_2)$  for some  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

The duality mapping  $\succsim \mapsto \succsim^i$  is a bijection, with inverse  
 $\succsim^* \mapsto \succsim^{*d}$ , from the set of all total preorders  $\succsim$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$   
with properties (a)-(d) onto the set of all total preorders  
 $\succsim^i$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  with properties (a')-(d').



$$u : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$u(y, z) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{y+1} & \text{if } z \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } z > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$v : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$v(q, r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } r \geq 1 \text{ and } q = 0 \\ -\frac{q}{q+1} & \text{if } r \geq 1 \text{ and } q > 0 \end{cases} .$$

If  $v(q, r) = 0$  then no  $\succeq$  – maximal element exists in  $B(q, r)$ .

If  $v(q, r) \neq 0$  then  $B(q, r)$  has at least a maximal element.

$v$  is a utility representation for  $\succeq^i$ .

$$(0, 1) \sim^i (0, 2)$$

$(0, 1)$  is a  $\sim^i$  – minimal element of  $B^{-1}(1, 1)$

No  $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  exists such that  $(0, 2)$  is a  $\sim^i$  – minimal element of  $B^{-1}(y, z)$  :

If  $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ , with  $y \neq 0$ , is such that  $(0, 2) \in B^{-1}(y, z)$  then  $(0, 2) \succ^i \left(\frac{2-3z}{2y}, \frac{3}{2}\right) \in B^{-1}(y, z)$ ;

if  $(0, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$  is such that  $(0, 2) \in B^{-1}(0, z)$  then  $(0, 2) \succ^i \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) \in B^{-1}(0, z)$ .

$$\sim^i \neq \sim^{idi}$$

$$u^0 : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$u^0(y, z) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } z \leq 1 \text{ and } y = 0 \\ \frac{z-1}{y-z+1} & \text{if } z \leq 1 \text{ and } y > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } z > 1 \end{cases}$$

$u^0$  is a utility representation of  $\succsim^{id}$ .

For every  $(y, z) \in B(0, 2)$ ,  $u^0(y, z) < 0 = u^0(1, 1)$

$$(1, 1) \in B(0, 1)$$

$$(0, 1) \succ^{idi} (0, 2)$$

$$(0, 1) \sim^i (0, 2)$$

PROPOSITION. Let  $\succsim$  be a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  satisfying properties (a)-(c). Then  $\succsim^{id}$  is the total preorder whose strict preorder  $\succ^{id}$  is defined as follows:

$x_1 \succ^{id} x_2$  if and only if

either  $x_1 \succ x_2$

or  $x_1 \sim x_2$ ,  $x_1$  is not a  $\succsim$ -maximal element in  $B(p)$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $x_2$  is a  $\succsim$ -maximal element of  $B(p)$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

$\succ^{id}$  is an extension of  $\succ$ .

$\succsim$  is an extension of  $\succsim^{id}$ .

THEOREM. For every total preorder  $\succsim$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $\succsim^{idid}$  coincides with  $\succsim^{id}$ .

THEOREM. Let  $\succsim$  be a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  the set  $B(p)$  has a  $\succsim$  –maximal element. Then  $\succsim^i$  has the following properties:

(a)  $\succsim^i$  is nonincreasing.

(b) For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the set  $\{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : p_1 \succ^i p\}$  is evenly convex.

(c) For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , if  $p_2 \in cl \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : p_1 \succ^i p\}$  and  $\alpha > 1$  then  $p_1 \succ \alpha p_2$ .

Conversely, if  $\succsim^*$  is a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that (a)-(c) hold with  $\succsim^i$  replaced by  $\succsim^*$  then, for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , the set  $B(p)$  has a  $\succsim^{*d}$  –maximal element and  $\succsim^{*di}$  coincides with  $\succsim^*$ .

THEOREM. Let  $\succsim$  be a total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  that coincides with  $\succsim^{id}$ . The following statements are equivalent:

(i) For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  the set  $B(p_1)$  has a  $\succsim$ -maximal element.

(ii) For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the set  $\{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : p_1 \succ^i p\}$  is evenly convex.

(iii) For every  $p_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ , the set  $\{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : p_1 \succ^i p\}$  is evenly convex.

$\succsim$  partial preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$

The expenditure function:  $e_{\succsim} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$e_{\succsim}(p, x) = \inf \left\{ \langle x', p \rangle : x' \succsim x \right\} \quad (p \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

(HCP) For all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$\overline{\text{co}} \left( S_{\succsim}^{x_1} + \mathbb{R}_+^n \right) \subseteq \overline{\text{co}} \left( S_{\succsim}^{x_2} + \mathbb{R}_+^n \right) \quad \implies \quad S_{\succsim}^{x_1} \subseteq S_{\succsim}^{x_2}$$

THEOREM. The mapping  $\succsim \mapsto e_{\succsim}$  is a bijection from the set of all preorders  $\succsim$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  whose upper contour sets  $S_{\succsim}^x = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : x' \succsim x\}$  satisfy (HCP) onto the set of functions  $e : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  that satisfy the following properties:

(a) For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the mapping  $e(\cdot, x)$  is concave, positively homogeneous and upper semicontinuous.

(b) For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the closed convex hull of the set  $\{x' \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : e(\cdot, x') \geq e(\cdot, x)\} + \mathbb{R}_+^n$  coincides with  $\partial e(\cdot, x)(0)$ , the superdifferential of the concave function  $e(\cdot, x)$  at the origin.

The inverse mapping is  $e \mapsto \succsim_e$ , with  $\succsim_e$  denoting the preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  defined by  $x_1 \succsim_e x_2$  if and only if  $e(\cdot, x_1) \geq e(\cdot, x_2)$  (pointwise).

THEOREM. The mapping  $\succsim \longmapsto e_{\succsim}$  is a bijection from the set of all nondecreasing preorders  $\succsim$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  whose upper contour sets are closed and convex onto the set of functions  $e : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  that satisfy the following properties:

(a) For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , the mapping  $e(\cdot, x)$  is concave, positively homogeneous and upper semicontinuous.

(b') For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$\{x' \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : e(\cdot, x') \geq e(\cdot, x)\} = \partial e(\cdot, x)(0).$$

The inverse mapping  $e \longmapsto \succsim_e$  is given by:  $x_1 \succsim_e x_2$  if and only if  $x_1 \in \partial e(\cdot, x_2)(0)$ .

$\succsim$  total preorder on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$

The demand correspondence:  $X : \mathbb{R}_{++}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}_+^n$

$$X(p) = \{x \in B(p) : x \succsim y \quad \forall y \in B(p)\}$$

$\succsim$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  is said to be locally nonsatiated if no relatively open subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  has a  $\succsim$ -maximal element.



PROPOSITION. Let  $\succsim$  be a locally nonsatiated total pre-order in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and let  $X$  be its associated demand correspondence. If the set

$$\left\{ (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_{++}^n : x \succsim y \quad \forall y \in B(p) \right\}$$

is convex then  $X$  is monotone.

PROPOSITION. Let  $\succsim$  be a nondecreasing total preorder in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,  $X$  be its associated demand correspondence, and assume that  $\succsim$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 \succsim \lambda y \\ x_2 \succsim \mu y \\ \lambda > 0, \mu > 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \frac{1}{2} (x_1 + x_2) \succsim 2 \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} y.$$

Then  $X$  is monotone.

If  $graph(\succsim) = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n : x \succsim y \right\}$  is convex set then one has

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 \succsim \lambda y \\ x_2 \succsim \mu y \\ \lambda > 0, \mu > 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \frac{1}{2} (x_1 + x_2) \succsim \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} y \geq 2 \frac{\lambda \mu}{\lambda + \mu} y.$$